

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,462	10/31/2000	Alan L. Davis	TI-30558	1089
23494	7590 06/25/2004		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			HUISMAN, DAVID J	
DALLAS, T	5474, M/S 3999 °X 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2183	
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/702,462	DAVIS ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David J. Huisman	2183	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (` condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of			
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  FINAL REJECTION. S  36(a) and the appropriate extended from the final Office action; or (	ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected clain	าร.
NOTE: see attached sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-21 as set forth in the final rejection	<u>on</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on <u>31 October 2000</u> is	s a)□ approved or b)⊠ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	•	-	
10. Other:	, , , , , , , ,		
	BEST AVAILABLE	COPY	
	CO COLOR DE COMPONION DE COMPON	· we will U	

Applicant has made after-final amendments which change the scope of at least one independent claim (claim 1, for instance). Such amendments would require further search and consideration by the examiner.

EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

BEST AVAILABLE COPY